IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

ANOLYTIC AND BETA-GLUCANOLYTIC

In re Patent Application of

Debyser et al

Serial No. 09/403,625

Filed: February 7, 2000

Title:

INHIBITORS OF CELLŮ **ENZYMES**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Atty Dkt. 550-392 C# M# TC/A.U.: 1652 Examiner: Fronda Date: August 29, 2005

Sir:	Correspondence Address Indication Form Attached.		
•			
	NOTICE OF APPEAL Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the last decision of the Examiner twice/finally rejecting \$500.00 (1401)/\$250.00 (240 applicant's claim(s).	91) \$	
	An appeal BRIEF is attached in the pending appeal of the above-identified application \$500.00 (1402)/\$250.00 (2402)	2) \$	
	Credit for fees paid in prior appeal without decision on merits	-\$ ()
\boxtimes	A reply brief is attached.		(no fee)
	Petition is hereby made to extend the current due date so as to cover the filing date of this paper and attachment(s) One Month Extensions \$120.00 (1251)/\$60.00 (2251) Two Month Extensions \$450.00 (1252)/\$225.00 (2252) Three Month Extensions \$1020.00 (1253/\$510.00 (2253) Four Month Extensions \$1590.00 (1254/\$795.00 (2254)) "Small entity" statement attached.	2) 3)	
	\mathcal{Y}		
	Less month extension previously paid on	-\$()
	TOTAL FEE ENCLOSE	ED \$	0.00

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100 BJS:pp

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, Virginia 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. By Atty: B. J. Sadoff, Reg. No. 36,663

Signature:

Any future submission requiring an extension of time is hereby stated to include a petition for such time extension. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this

firm) to our **Account No. 14-1140.** A <u>duplicate</u> copy of this sheet is attached.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

e Patent Application of

Debyser et al

Atty. Ref.: 550-392

Serial No. 09/403,625

Group: 1652

Filed: February 7, 2000

Examiner: Fronda

For: INHIBITORS OF CELLULOLYTIC, XYLANOLYTIC AND BETA-GLUCANOLYTIC

ENZYMES

August 29, 2005

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REPLY BRIEF

The Appellant submits the present Reply Brief, pursuant to 37 CFR § 41.41, in response to the Examiner's Answer dated June 29, 2005.

Applicable procedure – the claims do not stand of fall together

On page 2 of the Examiner's Answer mailed June 29, 2005, the Examiner states that

> "claims 48-50, 52-56 and 65-68 stand or fall together because appellant's brief does not include a statement that this grouping of claims does not stand or fall together and reasons in support thereof. See 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7)."

The Board will appreciate however that as the Notice of Appeal filed February 10, 2005 and the Appeal Brief filed April 8, 2005, were filed after the September 13, 2004

Debyser et al Serial No. **09/403,625** REPLY BRIEF August 29, 2005

effective date of Rules of Practice Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences published in the Federal Register (69 FR 49960, August 12, 2004), the Appeal Brief is believed to have been properly filed pursuant to 37 CFR § 41.37, which does not require a statement that claims do not stand or fall together to argue separate patentability. In fact, the Examiner acknowledges the appellants' arguments pursuant to 37 CFR § 41.67(vii), ¶2, 2nd sentence, on pages 5-6 of the Examiner's Answer dated June 29, 2005.

Claims 48-50, 52-56 and 65-68 do not stand or fall together for lack of a statement required by the previous and inapplicable Rule 1.92(c)(7) (i.e., 37 CFR § 1.92(c)(7)). Clarification of the record in this regard is requested for the convenience of the Board.

Conclusion

For the reasons provided in the entire record, including the appellants' Appeal Brief filed April 8, 2005, reversal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph "written description", rejection of claims 48-50, 52-56 and 65-68 is requested.

The application is submitted to be in condition for allowance and Reversal of the Final Rejection is requested.

Debyser et al Serial No. **09/403,625** REPLY BRIEF August 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: B. J. Sadoff

Reg. No. 36,663

BJS

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203

Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100